The Berezinskii–Kosterlitz–Thouless Transition and Correlations in the XY Kagomé Antiferromagnet¹

V. B. Cherepanov¹, I. V. Kolokolov², and E. V. Podivilov³

 ¹ Hummingberg Ltd., Toronto, Ontario, Canada MZH 2S6
 ² Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia
 ³ Institute of Automation and Electrometry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia Received November 20, 2001

The problem of the Berezinskii–Kosterlitz–Thouless transition in the highly frustrated XY kagomé antiferromagnet is solved. The transition temperature is found. It is shown that the spin correlation function exponentially decays with distance even in the low-temperature phase, in contrast to the order parameter correlation function, which decays algebraically with distance. © 2001 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica".

PACS numbers: 75.10.Hk; 75.50.Ee

Generally, XY spins on two-dimensional lattices undergo a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition [1, 2]. If there is no frustration, the physics of this transition does not depend on the specifics of the lattice structure. At finite temperatures, the behavior of a system is governed by spin waves and vortices. They are well defined in continuum limit of the theory. In the low-temperature phase, the spin vortices are bound in pairs with zero topological charge, and spin correlators decay with distance algebraically. One can also define the vorticity field demonstrating nontrivial dynamical correlations [3]. In the BKT transition point, the vortex-antivortex interaction becomes screened, pairs disintegrate, and the spin correlation length becomes finite. By contrast, the XY antiferromagnet on the twodimensional kagomé lattice (see figure) has infinitely many ground states, and its description in terms of continuous field theory is not justified.

In this paper, we compute the BKT transition temperature in such systems. In [4], it was suggested that the true order parameter here is $\eta = e^{3i\theta}$, where θ is the angle of a spin. It is invariant with respect to any arbitrary choice of ground states, which are a subset of local $2\pi/3$ spin rotations. Therefore, this order parameter can change smoothly in the plane. The phase transition consists in the emergence of a finite correlation length of the variable η . Indirect evidence of this was obtained by Monte-Carlo simulations in [5, 6]. As for the correlation length of spins itself, we show here that it is finite starting at an arbitrary low temperature. This is the inevitable consequence of finite values of energy barriers separating different vacua.

In order to take into account the special structure of the kagomé lattice, we start with the approach developed in [7] (see also [8]). The kagomé lattice consists of triangles and hexagons (figure). The Hamiltonian of the kagomé antiferromagnet can be represented as a sum of squares of the total spins S_t in triangles $\{t\}$ of the nearest neighbors:

$$H = \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{t} (\mathbf{S}_{t})^{2}.$$
 (1)

Each spin participates in two triangles. The groundstate energy is equal to zero, and there are infinitely many ground states with $\mathbf{S}_t = 0$. In any ground state, the angles between neighboring spins are equal to $\pm 2\pi/3$.

The partition function of the *XY* kagomé antiferromagnet can be represented as an integral of a function defined on the lattice bonds:

$$Z(\beta) = \int \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}} \cos \Theta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}}\right) \prod_{\mathbf{r}} d\theta(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (2)$$
$$\Theta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}} \equiv \theta(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}) - \theta(\mathbf{r}),$$

where \mathbf{r} denotes positions on the kagomé lattice, \mathbf{a} are three lattice vectors directed along the antiferromag-



The kagomé lattice (filled dots) with antiferromagnetic bonds (continuous lines), and the dual lattice (circles) and its bonds (dashed lines).

¹ This work was submitted by the authors in English.

597

netic bonds between nearest neighbors, θ_r are the spin angles, and $\beta = \kappa S^2/2T$ is the dimensionless inverse temperature.

The 2π periodicity of the angular variables allows one to expand the statistical weight in Eq. (2) in Fourier series with the coefficients $I_{n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})}(-\beta)$:

$$\exp\left(-\beta \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}} \cos \Theta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})} \sum_{n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})} I_{n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})}(-\beta) \exp(in(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})\Theta_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}}).$$
(3)

Here, $I_n(x)$ is the modified Bessel function, and integer numbers $n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})$ are located on bonds connecting nearest neighbors \mathbf{r} and $\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}$. Then we integrate over the angles $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ and arrive at the following representation for the partition function:

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{\{n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})\}} \prod_{\mathbf{r}} \Delta \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a}} n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}) \right) \prod_{\mathbf{a}} I_{n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})}(-\beta), \quad (4)$$

where $n(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}, -\mathbf{a}) = -n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})$. Here, $\{n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})\}$ denotes the set of all configurations of integers $n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})$. The Δ function ($\Delta(0) = 1$, $\Delta(n \neq 0) = 0$) expresses the conservation condition at each site of the lattice:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a}} n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}) = 0.$$
 (5)

As in the case of perturbation theory graphs [9], this means that the summation in Eq. (4) runs effectively over integer-valued currents $J(\mathbf{R})$ circulated in closed loops. The latter are numbered by dual lattice sites \mathbf{R}_t , and \mathbf{R}_h , which are located in centers of triangles and hexagons, correspondingly (figure). A current $n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})$ along the **a** bond is equal to the sum of currents in one triangle and in one hexagon that share the bond (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}). This allows us to represent the partition function as follows:

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{\{J(\mathbf{R}_h)\}} \prod_{\mathbf{R}_t} \sum_{J(\mathbf{R}_t)} \prod_{h=1}^{\Im} I_{J(\mathbf{R}_t + \mathbf{A}_h) + J(\mathbf{R}_t)} (-\beta).$$
(6)

Here, we separate sums over triangle and hexagon currents, $J(\mathbf{R}_i)$ and $J(\mathbf{R}_h)$, with centers \mathbf{R}_i and $\mathbf{R}_h = \mathbf{R}_i + \mathbf{A}_h$, and *h* numbers of three hexagons surrounding each triangle \mathbf{R}_i . Further, we consider $e^{-\beta}$ as a small parameter of the theory. We will see that the inequality $e^{-\beta} \leq 1$ holds even in the BKT transition point, as it does for the square lattice [1, 2, 7]. However, the Bessel functions in Eq. (6) cannot be substituted by their asymptotic forms at $\beta \geq 1$, because the summation over $J(\mathbf{R}_i)$ results in a relatively small contribution to $Z(\beta)$. This asymptotic form corresponds to the saturation of a maximal number of nearest-neighbor bonds, which is far away from the true ground state, due to frustrations. Consequently,

JETP LETTERS Vol. 74 No. 12 2001

the summation over the triangle currents $J(\mathbf{R}_t)$ must be performed first. To do this, we represent triple products of the Bessel functions in (6) in the integral form, which allows us to take the sum over $J(\mathbf{R}_t)$ exactly:

$$\sum_{J(\mathbf{R}_{i})} \prod_{h=1}^{3} I_{J(\mathbf{R}_{i}+\mathbf{A}_{h})+J(\mathbf{R}_{i})}(-\beta) = \sum_{m=-1, 0, 1-\pi-\pi-\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{\pi} \prod_{j=1}^{\pi} \frac{d\phi_{1} d\phi_{2} d\phi_{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}}$$

$$\times \delta(\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}+\phi_{3}+2\pi m)$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\sum_{h=1}^{3} i\phi_{h} J(\mathbf{R}_{t}+\mathbf{A}_{h})+\beta\cos(\phi_{h})\right] \qquad (7)$$

$$\sim \sum_{\sigma(\mathbf{R}_{i})=\pm 1} \exp\left\{\frac{i2\pi\sigma(\mathbf{R}_{i})}{3}\left(\sum_{h=1}^{3} J(\mathbf{R}_{h})\right)\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{1}{6\beta}\sum_{h,h=1}^{3} (J(\mathbf{R}_{h})-J(\mathbf{R}_{h}))^{2}\right\}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{R}_h = \mathbf{R}_t + \mathbf{A}_h$ for a given *t*. The last asymptotic relation in Eq. (7) follows from the fact that the integration over $d\phi_1 d\phi_2 d\phi_3$ at large β is saturated by the vicinity of two saddle points $\phi_h = 2\pi\sigma(\mathbf{R}_t)/3$, where $\sigma(\mathbf{R}_t) = \pm 1$ (h = 1, 2, 3). Thus, hexagon currents and chiralities $\sigma = \pm 1$ residing in triangles are retained. These variables include the multiple ground states. Substituting the asymptotic formula for the triple products of Bessel functions (7) into Eq. (6) and using the Poisson summation formula, we arrive at the following expression for the partition function:

$$Z(\beta) = \sum_{\{\sigma(\mathbf{R}_{i})\}, \{m(\mathbf{R}_{h})\}} \int \exp\left\{2\pi i \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{h}} J(\mathbf{R}_{h}) Q(\mathbf{R}_{h}) - \frac{1}{3\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{h}, \mathbf{B}_{h}} (J(\mathbf{R}_{h}) - J(\mathbf{R}_{h} + \mathbf{B}_{h}))^{2}\right\} \prod_{\mathbf{R}_{h}} dJ(\mathbf{R}_{h}),$$
(8)

$$Q(\mathbf{R}_h) = m(\mathbf{R}_h) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{A}_t} \sigma(\mathbf{R}_h + \mathbf{A}_t).$$
(9)

Here, \mathbf{A}_t runs over all six triangles surrounding each hexagon, with the centers \mathbf{R}_h , $\mathbf{R}_t = \mathbf{R}_h + \mathbf{A}_t$ and \mathbf{B}_h being the six vectors that connect the centers of nearest hexagons. Note that centers of hexagons form a triangular lattice which is dual to the hexagonal lattice.

Now, one can integrate the partition function (8) over the currents in hexagons $J(\mathbf{R}_h)$. This results in the expression for the partition function of the 2D Coulomb gas with charges $Q(\mathbf{R}_h)$ positioned at sites of the triangular lattice \mathbf{R}_h . Charges are 1/3-multiple; this corresponds to the $2\pi/3$ -multiplicity of vortex rotations. At zero temperature, the integration over $J(\mathbf{R}_h)$ in Eq. (8)

yields conservation conditions $\prod_{\mathbf{R}_h} \delta(Q(\mathbf{R}_h))$; i.e., in any ground state, the sum of chiralities of triangles surrounding each hexagon is a multiple of 3. The problem of counting ground states is mapped onto that of coloring the hexagonal lattice [4], which was solved exactly [10]. The exact number of ground states, Z_N , is equal to 1.460099^{N/3}, where N is the number of spins. A naive approximation assuming that chiralities of triangles surrounding each hexagon are independent and equally probable gives a good estimate of $Z_N \approx (11/8)^{N/3} =$ $1.375^{N/3}$ for the number of the ground states. In this estimate, we neglect correlations between chiralities of triangles surrounding neighboring hexagons. Their effect can be estimated as the inverse number of the nearest neighbors on the triangular lattice, 1/6. At finite temperatures, we divide $J(\mathbf{R})$ into slowly varying and shortwavelength fields and integrate the first over the latter. This gives the product of local statistical weights $\prod_{n} \exp(-3\beta \pi^2 Q_n^2/8)$, which substitutes the product of δ functions at $\beta \longrightarrow \infty$. The BKT transition point is determined by the excitations with most probable charges: $Q_n = 0, \pm 1/3$. States with the sum of chiralities of triangles surrounding a certain hexagon equal to ± 2 and ± 4 contribute to the formation of such $Q = \pm 1/3$ configurations. For a given $Q_n = \pm 1/3$, the number of configurations $Z_{1,N}$ differs from the number of ground states Z_N by some numerical factor w_1 . We estimate the factor w_1 in the same naive way as we estimated the number of ground states; i.e., we assume that chiralities ± 1 have equal and independent probabilities. This yields $w_1 \approx 21/22$. The precision of this estimate is again of the order of 1/6, and we set in the following $w_1 = 1$. Denoting the long-wavelength part of $J(\mathbf{R})$ as $3K\Psi(\mathbf{R})$, where $K = \beta/12$, we arrive at the long-distance effective action in the standard form:

$$Z = \int D\Psi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\ll \exp\left\{-\int d^2\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}K}{2} (\nabla\Psi)^2 - ha^{-2}\cos(2\pi K\Psi)\right]\right\},$$
(10)

where $h = 2e^{-K\pi^2/2} = 2e^{-\beta\pi^2/24}$ and $a = |\mathbf{a}|$. At the BKT transition temperature, this is a small field, which allows one to use the perturbative renormalization group approach [7]. The BKT transition occurs at the temperature where the field *h* becomes relevant. For the hexagonal lattice, we get $\sqrt{3}/2K_c = \pi/2$; i.e.,

$$T_c / \kappa S^2 = \sqrt{3}\pi / 72 = 0.0756.$$
(11)

We neglected nonlinear terms which can slightly renormalize the stiffness constant. This effect on T_c is small because of the smallness of $T_c/\kappa S^2$ (see also [8]).

The existence of a new set of variables (chiralities) qualitatively changes the spin correlation function

compared to that in unfrustrated *XY* magnets. Returning to the initial formulation of the problem (2), we consider the correlation functions $\mathcal{K}_j(r_0) = \langle \exp(i[\theta(0) - \theta(\mathbf{r}_0)] \cdot j) \rangle$. In terms of the integer-valued variables, $n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a})$, we arrive at an expression that differs from Eq. (4) only by arguments of the δ functions. Namely, for sites 0 and \mathbf{r}_0 we get

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a}} n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{a}} n(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{a}) = j, \qquad (12)$$

instead of the conservation condition (5). This condition is equivalent to the pattern of currents which is a superposition of currents $J(\mathbf{R}_h)$ flowing in the kagomé lattice and obeying the condition (5) and a current *j*, which takes a whole number value and which is created at the point **0** and annihilated at the point \mathbf{r}_0 . Thus, the correlation function $\mathcal{K}_i(r_0)$ has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{j}(r_{0}) = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\{J(\mathbf{R})\}} \prod_{(\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{R}^{*}, \mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{A}^{*})} I_{J(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{A}) + J(\mathbf{R})}(-\beta) \qquad (13)$$

$$\times \prod_{(\mathbf{R}^{*}, \mathbf{A}^{*})} I_{J(\mathbf{R}^{*} + \mathbf{A}^{*}) + J(\mathbf{R}^{*}) + j}(-\beta).$$

Here, (**R***, **A***) are sites and vectors of the dual lattice such that **A*** crosses the path (**0**, **r**₀) on the initial kagomé lattice. Integrating over currents in the triangles in Eq. (13), we get $\mathcal{H}_j(r_0) = Z_j(\beta, r_0)/Z(\beta)$, where $Z(\beta)$ is given by Eq. (8) and $Z_j(\beta, r_0)$ differs from $Z(\beta)$ by the additional contribution from the current *j* running along the path (**0**, **r**₀).

The contribution of $Q \neq 0$ configurations (vortex) to the large- r_0 asymptotic form of the spin correlation function $\mathcal{K}_j(r_0)$ below the BKT transition point is negligible, because the renormalization-group flow at $T < T_c$ makes the effective constant h in Eq. (10) equal to zero. The main difference between our $\mathcal{K}_j(r_0)$ and the usual (unfrustrated) case is in the factor

$$\exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{t}^{*}} \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{R}_{t}^{*})}{3} \cdot j\right)$$
(14)

averaged over chiralities. For simplicity, we consider the case where the shortest walk on lattice sites between points 0 and r_0 goes over a straight line. In this case, r_0/a is the number of bonds along this walk, where *a* is the kagomé lattice constant. Neglecting constraints on chiralities of triangles, as we did before, we immediately get a factor of $(\cos 2\pi/3)^{r_0/a} = (-1)^{r_0/a} 2^{-r_0/a}$ in the correlation function if *j* is not a multiple of 3. Integration over $J(\mathbf{R}_h)$ in the $r_0 \longrightarrow \infty$ limit can be done in the spin-wave approximation, yielding the well-known

>

JETP LETTERS Vol. 74 No. 12 2001

result [1]. Thus, in the low-temperature phase $T \le T_c$ in the long-distance limit $r_0/a \ge 1$, and the spin correlation function reads

$$\mathcal{K}_{j}(r_{0}) \propto (-1)^{r_{0}/a} 2^{-r_{0}/a} (r_{0}/a)^{-j^{2}T/36T_{c}}, \qquad (15)$$

$$j \neq 3, 6, 9, \dots.$$

It decays exponentially with distance. Note that the statement about exponential decay of the spin–spin correlators does not depend on the approximations made here. This follows from the finiteness of the correlation length of the chirality field. The true order parameter of the BKT transition is the cubed spin [4] $\eta(\mathbf{r}) = \exp(3i\theta(\mathbf{r}))$. The correlation function of this order parameter at $T < T_c$ decays as a power of distance

$$\mathcal{H}_{3}(r_{0}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}(0)\boldsymbol{\eta}^{*}(\mathbf{r})\rangle \sim (r_{0}/a)^{-T/4T_{c}}.$$
 (16)

The result for T_c is in agreement with Monte-Carlo simulations of the BKT transition in the kagomé antiferromagnet [6] and with recent independent calculations [11] (note that the preprint version of this paper was published before [12]). In [11], it is shown that the next-to-nearest-neighbor exchange interaction on the kagomé lattice can remove the ground-state degeneracy. However, the spin–spin interaction induced by thermal spin waves cannot play the same role. Indeed, in the case of the nearest-neighbor interaction considered here, spots of $\sigma(\mathbf{R})$ with changed signs have finite entropy at $T \longrightarrow 0$. Their contribution to the free energy and correlators dominates, and the effect of interaction induced by spin waves considered in [11] is negligible at low temperatures. This work was supported in part by the International Science Foundation and the Russian Government (grant nos. NPF000 and NPF300) and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 96-02-19125a). We are grateful to P. Coleman and C. Henley for useful remarks. We also thank M. V. Feigelman for stimulating remarks.

REFERENCES

- 1. V. L. Berezinskiĭ, Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **61**, 1144 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP **34**, 610 (1972)].
- J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1973).
- V. V. Lebedev, Pis'ma Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **70**, 675 (1999) [JETP Lett. **70**, 691 (1999)]; Phys. Rev. E **62**, 1002 (2000).
- 4. D. A. Huse and A. D. Rutenberg, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7536 (1992).
- P. Chandra, P. Coleman, and I. Ritchey, J. Phys. I 3, 591 (1993).
- 6. M. S. Rzchowskii, cond-mat/9508139.
- J. V. Jose, L. P. Kadanoff, S. Kirkpatrick, and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 16, 1217 (1977).
- 8. A. Z. Patashinskiĭ and V. L. Pokrovskiĭ, *Fluctuation Theory of Phase Transitions* (Nauka, Moscow, 1983, 2nd ed.; Pergamon, Oxford, 1979).
- A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gor'kov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962; Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1963).
- 10. R. J. Baxter, J. Math. Phys. 11, 784 (1977).
- 11. S. E. Korshunov, cond-mat/0106463.
- 12. V. B. Cherepanov, I. V. Kolokolov, and E. V. Podivilov, cond-mat/9603115.