Statistics of soliton-bearing systems with additive noise

G. E. Falkovich,^{1,2} I. Kolokolov,^{1,3} V. Lebedev,^{1,4} and S. K. Turitsyn⁵

¹Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

²Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106-4030

³Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

⁴Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Kosygina 2, 117940, Russia

⁵Photonics Research Group, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, United Kingdom

(Received 22 March 2000; published 18 January 2001)

We present a consistent method to calculate the probability distribution of soliton parameters in systems with additive noise. Even though the noise is weak, we are interested in probabilities of large fluctuations (generally non-Gaussian) which are beyond perturbation theory. Our method is a development of the instanton formalism (method of optimal fluctuation) based on a saddle-point approximation in the path integral. We first solve a fundamental problem of soliton statistics governed by a noisy nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We then apply our method to optical soliton transmission systems using signal control elements (filters and amplitude and phase modulators).

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.63.025601

PACS number(s): 42.65.Tg, 05.40.Ca, 05.45.Yv, 42.81.Dp

Spatially or temporally coherent nonlinear structures (soliton, vortex, breather, domain wall, spiral chemical wave, collapsing cavern, and many others) play an important role in the dynamics and statistics of nonlinear systems. Soliton models have arisen in fields as diverse as hydrodynamics, plasmas, nonlinear optics, molecular biology, solid state physics, field theory, and astrophysics. Presumably the most impressive practical implementation of the fundamental soliton concept has been achieved in fiber optics, where soliton pulses are used as information carriers to transmit digital signal at high bit rates over long distances (see, e.g., [1,2]). In long-haul fiber optic communication systems, the limitations on the bit rate and error-free transmission distance are set mainly by the spontaneous emission noise added by inline optical amplifiers. Existing and future optical transmission systems can show no measured errors over long time intervals, which makes a direct modeling of the bit error rate (that must be less than 10^{-9}) almost impractical. An important role is then played by theoretical methods to evaluate system performance. Even though the noise is weak comparatively to the soliton signal, in general one cannot use the perturbation approach to obtain the error probability because errors occur when signal parameters change substantially due to noise accumulation. Though dynamical deterministic properties of many nonlinear systems have been intensively studied during last few decades, much less is known about their statistics. Typically, consideration is limited by the assumption of the Gaussian statistics and calculations of the variances (note, however, works [3,4], where non-Gaussian corrections due to soliton interaction have been analyzed). Difficulties in studies of non-Gaussian statistics in nonlinear systems are caused by lack of appropriate mathematical methods. We present here a consistent method to derive the probability density function in soliton-bearing systems with an additive noise. Our approach is a modification and further development of a formalism to calculate the "probabilities of improbable events." The method is based on finding an optimal fluctuation that provides for a maximum of probability under given conditions; technically, it is a saddle-point

approximation in the path integral for probability. The main difficulty in applying the formalism is to identify the symmetries of the system and the respective soft modes that may fluctuate strongly. One ought to obtain an effective action for such soft (slow) degrees of freedom, integrating the distribution over the hard (fast) degrees of freedom. For our system, we show that the soft modes are related to the soliton parameters, whereas the hard ones are related to the continuous spectrum. The existence of the soliton thus greatly simplifies not only the dynamical but also the statistical description. As a specific example, we apply our general scheme to the calculation of an error probability in fiber-optic soliton transmission.

We start from the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NSE) with an additive noise,

$$-i\partial_t \Psi = \partial_x^2 \Psi + 2|\Psi|^2 \Psi + \xi. \tag{1}$$

Here ξ is white noise with the correlation function $\langle \xi(t_1, x_1) \xi^*(t_2, x_2) \rangle = D \,\delta(t_1 - t_2) \,\delta(x_1 - x_2)$, where *D* is the noise intensity. Equation (1) has a wide range of applications (ranging from optics and plasma physics to solid state physics and quantum statistics); it describes, in particular, transmission of the signal along the fiber line, then *t* is the propagation distance and *x* is time.

In this Rapid Communication we focus on the problem of a single soliton distortion by the noise. We assume the ideal soliton signal $\Psi(0,x) = \cosh^{-1}x$ at t=0 and examine the probability distribution of different distortions of the signal at a finite t=T>0. Another important problem is to find the probability to detect "one" at a finite distance *T* provided there was no soliton at t=0. Solutions to these problems are obtained below by analyzing the noise-induced fluctuations of Ψ around the ideal soliton form $\cosh^{-1}x$. We assume that in the soliton units the distance *T* is large $T \ge 1$ while the noise is weak $D \le 1$. A more precise condition on *D* will be formulated below. To find the probability to lose digital signal coded by a soliton (elementary "one") at t=T, one should define a particular measuring procedure that is a receiver. For example, the presence of the signal at t = T can be established using the integral $\int_{-l}^{l} dx |\Psi(T,x)|^2$, which is close to 2 for the soliton $\cosh^{-1}x$ if the window l is large enough. Errors are caused by the events with the value of the integral essentially smaller than 2. Such rare events are described by the tails of the probability density function (PDF). The focus of our paper is to develop a regular method to calculate such (generally non-Gaussian) tails of the PDF. In optical applications there are two leading processes which can result in these significant (but rare) deviations of the measured energy from its mean value. The first process is a decrease in soliton power $Q = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |\Psi(T,x)|^2/2$, equal to unity for the ideal signal. The second process is a shift of the soliton position characterized by the integral Y $=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x |\Psi(T,x)|^2/(2Q)$ that gives the location of the soliton "center of mass." For the ideal signal, Y=0. It is clear that when the soliton almost leaves the detection window $\{-l,l\}$, the integral $\int_{-l}^{l} dx \Psi^* \Psi$ one measures can substantially deviate from 2. Therefore, below we will look for the joint PDF $\mathcal{P}(Q, Y)$.

We parametrize our signal Ψ in the following way:

$$\Psi = \eta \exp(i\beta x + i\alpha + i\tau) [\cosh^{-1}(z) + v], \qquad (2)$$

where $z = \eta(x - y)$ and we defined the "internal time" as $d\tau = \eta^2 dt$. The soliton parameters α , β , η , and y may be arbitrary functions of time, The field v describes the continuous spectrum of perturbations on the background of the soliton. An important observation is that at $T \ge 1$ the probabilities of large deviations are determined by fluctuations of the soliton parameters. This is because the discrete modes are localized on the soliton and the integral effect of a continued in time fluctuation can be significant. On the other hand, the fluctuations of v are spread over the whole space. We show below that the influence of the continuous spectrum on statistics of the "soft" variables α , β , η , and y is neglibile in the limit $DT^2 \ll 1$. The soliton variables themselves are coupled dynamically in a strong way. We first restrict our consideration by the set α , β , η , and y, then we will establish the conditions when one can neglect the continuous spectrum.

Neglecting v we get from Eq. (1)

$$\partial_t \eta = \eta \zeta, \quad \partial_t \beta = \zeta_1, \quad \partial_t y - 2\beta = \zeta_2,$$
 (3)

plus an equation for the phase $\partial_t \alpha + y \partial_t \beta + \beta^2 = \zeta_3$. Here the new noises $\zeta(t), \ldots, \zeta_3(t)$ are some spatial integrals of ξ . These equations have been derived before, albeit without a careful definition of the statistics of the noises [2]. To define the statistics, one needs proper regularization of the equations; that can be done by considering a limit of a finite correlated case $\langle \xi(t)\xi(0) \rangle = g(t)$ with a symmetric function g. As a result, one may show (the details will be published elsewhere) that averaging over ξ is equivalent to the Gaussian average over the new noises with zero cross correlations and the following dispersions:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \zeta(t)\zeta(0) \right\rangle &= \delta(t)D/\eta, \ \left\langle \zeta_3(t)\zeta_3(0) \right\rangle \\ &= \delta(t)(12+\pi^2)D/36\eta, \end{aligned}$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 63 025601(R)

$$\langle \zeta_2(t)\zeta_2(0)\rangle = \delta(t)\pi^2 D/12\eta^3, \quad \langle \zeta_1(t)\zeta_1(0)\rangle = \delta(t)D\eta/3.$$

It is interesting that there is a single nonzero average $\langle \zeta \rangle = D/2\eta$ which means a systematic increase of the soliton amplitude due to the noise: $\langle \eta(T) \rangle = 1 + DT/2$.

We are going to study phase-independent quantities so the α variable can be neglected, since it neither enters the equations for η , β , and y nor the noise correlators. We may now write $\mathcal{P}(Q, Y)$ as an average over the noise of the solutions of Eqs. (3) satisfying the boundary conditions $\eta = 1$, $y = \beta = 0$ at t = 0 and $\eta = Q$, y = Y at t = T. Instead of dealing with the equations, it is convenient to take the path integral over arbitrary functions η , β , and y, taking Eqs. (3) into account by corresponding δ -functions which can be rewritten as exponentials introducing auxiliar fields μ , μ_1 , and μ_2 . Averaging over the noise we come to the standard Martin-Siggia-Rose formalism,

$$\mathcal{P}(Q,Y) = \int \mathcal{D}\beta \mathcal{D}\eta \mathcal{D}y \mathcal{D}\mu \mathcal{D}\mu_1 \mathcal{D}\mu_2 \exp\left[\int_0^T dt \mathcal{L}(t)\right],$$

where the effective Lagrangian is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = 2i[\mu \partial_t \eta / \eta - \mu D/2 - \mu_1 \partial_t \beta + \mu_2 (\partial_t y - 2\beta)] - D[12\mu^2 + (2\eta\mu_1)^2 + (\pi\mu_2/\eta)^2]/(6\eta).$$
(4)

Here $\mu(t)$ and $\mu_2(t)$ are arbitrary functions on the interval (0,T), while $\mu_1(T)=0$ since the final value $\beta(T)$ is not fixed. From now on, we omit the term with the linear drift of η , being interested in values $|\eta-1| \ge Dt$.

Since we are interested in the events with small probability, we calculate the path integral in the saddle-point approximation: $\ln \mathcal{P} \approx \int \mathcal{L}_{saddle} dt$. The applicability condition of the saddle-point approximation is $DT \ll 1$. The extrema conditions that determine the saddle-point trajectory (also called instanton or optimal fluctuation) can be found from Eq. (4). Because we are interested in $t \gg 1$ one can neglect the field μ_2 in comparison with μ_1 (as follows from the relation $\partial_t \mu_1 \sim \mu_2$), imposing the corresponding condition $\partial_t y = 0$ at t=0. The resulting equations are

$$\partial_t \eta = 2iD\mu, \quad i\partial_t \mu = D \eta \mu_1^2 / 3 - D \mu^2 / \eta,$$
 (5)

$$i\partial_t^2 y = \frac{4}{3}D\eta\mu_1, \quad \partial_t^2\mu_1 = 0.$$
 (6)

A solution of Eqs. (5) and (6) is written via Bessel functions,

$$\eta = (T-t) [C_1 J_{1/4}(\kappa) + C_2 J_{-1/4}(\kappa)]^2, \qquad (7)$$

where $\kappa = \lambda (T-t)^2/2$, while the relation of the parameter λ to *Y* and *Q* is found from the boundary conditions. The constants C_1 and C_2 can be expressed via λ , for instance, $\sqrt{2}C_2 = \Gamma(3/4)\lambda^{1/4}\sqrt{Q}$. Other fields are easily found now from Eqs. (5)–(7). We are interested here in $\eta \sim 1$ (though $1-\eta$ can be much larger than its rms value), then $Y \sim \lambda T^3$. Therefore, considering a region $Y \ll T$ we get $\lambda T^2 \ll 1$. That procedure corresponds to taking only the first terms of the expansion of the Bessel functions in Eq. (7).

STATISTICS OF SOLITON-BEARING SYSTEMS WITH ...

Then we get a contribution which is of the second order over *Y* (that is Gaussian as a direct result of the applied perturbation procedure with $\lambda T^2 \ll 1$),

$$\ln \mathcal{P}(T,Q,Y) \approx -\frac{2}{DT} (\sqrt{Q} - 1)^2 - R(Q) \frac{9Y^2}{8DT^3}, \quad (8)$$

$$R(Q) = 10(1 + 8\sqrt{Q} + Q)(6 + 3\sqrt{Q} + Q)^{-2}.$$
 (9)

Thus, a joint energy-timing PDF for the NSE is obtained. It is correct at $Y \ll T$ and $DT^2 \ll 1$ while DT^3 is arbitrary. The PDF is Gaussian with respect to timing and non-Gaussian with respect to energy. The most important feature of Eq. (8)is the consistent analytical confirmation of the empirically well-known fact that the dispersion of the timing $4DT^3/9$ is much larger than that of the energy (DT), so that the error rate of any receiver with an integration window $l \ll T$ is determined by the timing jitter due to the Gordon-Haus-Elgin effect [5,6]. Note that the probability of detecting "one" formed from noise (without any soliton initially present) is given by a similar instanton solution because an optimal way for a weak noise to create a large signal is to grow a soliton. Solving the saddle-point equations with the boundary conditions $\eta(0) = 0$ and $\eta(T) = \eta_f$ we find the probability $\mathcal{P}(\eta_f) \sim \exp(-2\eta_f/DT)$ to observe the amplitude η_f . Our achievement here is factor 2.

Next, we examine more sophisticated schemes of optical soliton transmission designed to suppress the timing jitter. Their general feature is that to compensate for the effect of a weak noise it is enough to modify the system only slightly so that an analysis can be done as an extension of the above one.

We consider first the phase modulation which is described by the equation [7]

$$-i\partial_t \Psi = \partial_x^2 \Psi + 2|\Psi|^2 \Psi + \xi - \epsilon x^2 \Psi, \qquad (10)$$

where the term with ϵ is regarded to be small. It produces an additional contribution to the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon} = 4i\epsilon\mu_1 y$ to be added to Eq. (4). Varying the sum $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon}$ we get the saddle-point equations

$$\partial_t y = 2\beta + iD \frac{\pi^2}{6\eta^3} \mu_2, \quad \partial_t \beta = -2\epsilon y - \frac{2i}{3}D\eta\mu_1,$$

$$\partial_t \mu_1 = 2\mu_2, \quad \partial_t \mu_2 = -2\epsilon\mu_1.$$
(11)

For η and μ we get the same instantonic equations (5). Below, we will be interested in distances $T \ge 1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ when the additional term \mathcal{L}_{ϵ} plays an important role.

One may show that the evolution of η and μ is weakly influenced by other degrees of freedom if $y \ll \epsilon^{-1/2}$. Then we can develop a scheme similar to that in the basic case: We examine first the dynamics of η , and then the dynamics of yon its background as a perturbation. Note that the physics is different now: The variable y oscillates in a parabolic potential while the amplitude of the oscillations grows secularly with time. Solving the equations we get for $T > \epsilon^{-1}$,

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 63 025601(R)

$$\ln \mathcal{P}(T,Q,Y) \approx -\frac{2}{DT} (\sqrt{Q}-1)^2 - R_p(Q) \frac{3\epsilon Y^2}{DT}, \quad (12)$$

with $R_p(Q) = 3(1 + \sqrt{Q} + Q)^{-1}$. We see that for window $l > \epsilon^{-1/2}$ the fluctuations of both timing and amplitude contribute the error rate, which can be calculated using the (substantially non-Gaussian) PDF (12).

Let us discuss now the role of the continuous spectrum. First, it is coupled to the discrete degrees of freedom already in the linear approximation because of noise. Indeed, if we denote as m the field conjugated to v (exactly in a way μ fields are conjugated to the discrete variables), then the terms proportional to $Dm\mu$ appear in the action. A straightforward linear analysis shows that $m \ll \mu$ and those mixed terms can be neglected provided $T \ge 1$. The physical mechanism behind that is the frequency gap in the continuous spectrum, which makes the mixing nonresonant. Second, the continuous spectrum influences the soliton parameters via nonlinear interaction. The most essential interaction is related to the terms in the Lagrangian containing μv^2 . Note that the fluctuations of the continuous spectrum grow with time; this effect is related to the noise distributed over the whole space and is therefore insensitive to the presence of the soliton. Integrating over the continuous spectrum, we can find fluctuation corrections to the reduced Lagrangian associated with the nonlinear interaction. A relevant contribution to the Lagrangian is $\sim (DT)^2 \mu^2$. It has to be compared with the bare term $D\mu^2$. Thus, we come to the conclusion that our scheme is valid if $DT^2 \ll 1$. Note that our analysis of the continuous spectrum is not sensitive to the presence of the (weak) parabolic potential (basically, because of the nondissipative character of the phase control). Therefore the criteria $T \ge 1$ and $DT^2 \ll 1$ are the same for the phase modulation case.

The most elaborated control scheme that we consider in this paper is when filters and amplitude modulators are inserted along the propagation line. This scheme is dissipative and it allows one to saturate completely the growth of the dispersions of both amplitude η and timing y with an obvious potential for an unlimited propagation or information storage [1,2,8]. We analyze below finite fluctuations and discover a different (collapse) mechanism of the signal loss that restricts the propagation distance or storage time. The propagation equation in this case reads (see, e.g., [2])

$$-i\partial_t\Psi = \partial_x^2\Psi + 2|\Psi|^2\Psi + \xi - i\epsilon_1\Psi - i\epsilon_2\partial_x^2\Psi + i\epsilon_3x^2\Psi,$$

where all ϵ 's are assumed to be small. The ϵ_1 term describes an additional amplification necessary to compensate the losses due to filtering (ϵ_2 term) and amplitude modulation (ϵ_3 term). Without noise, one has a steady soliton with β = y=0 and an amplitude η_s , satisfying $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 \eta_s^2/3$ $+ \epsilon_3 \pi^2/12 \eta_s^2$. It is linearly stable for $4 \epsilon_2 \eta_s^2 > \pi^2 \epsilon_3 \eta_s^{-2}$, the condition is assumed to be satisfied as well as ϵ_3 $> 2 \epsilon_2^2 \eta_s^4/9$, which provides for the stability of zero [2]. The dispersions of the energy and timing have been derived before and can be found in Ref. [2]. Here we describe some properties of the whole joint PDF, including its timedependent part responsible for a total loss of the signal. The additional terms produce an additional effect provided $T \gg 1/\epsilon$; the inequality will be implied below.

An additional contribution to the reduced action,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\epsilon} = 4i\epsilon_{1}\mu - \frac{4}{3}i\epsilon_{2}\mu\eta^{2} - 4i\epsilon_{2}\mu\beta^{2} + \frac{8i}{3}\epsilon_{2}\mu_{1}\eta^{2}\beta - 2i\epsilon_{3}\mu \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6\eta^{2}} + 2y^{2}\right) - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3}i\epsilon_{3}\mu_{2}y/\eta^{2}, \quad (13)$$

gives the following saddle-point equations:

$$y_t = 2\beta - \frac{\pi^2 \epsilon_3 y}{3\eta^2} + \frac{iD\pi^2 \mu_2}{6\eta^3}, \quad \beta_t = -\frac{4}{3}\epsilon_2 \eta^2 \beta - \frac{2i}{3}D\eta\mu_1,$$
$$\frac{\eta_t}{\eta} = 2\left(\epsilon_1 - \frac{\epsilon_2 \eta^2}{3} - \epsilon_2 \beta^2\right) - \epsilon_3\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6\eta^2} + 2y^2\right) + \frac{2iD\mu}{\eta}.$$

This system is too complicated to solve analytically, yet the most important feature can be understood: If y(T) = Y is sufficiently large, then the amplitude η collapses to zero in a finite time according to $\partial_t \eta^2 = -\epsilon_3 \pi^2/3$. For $\mathcal{P}(Q, Y)$, that means that there is a critical value $Y_{\rm cr} \sim 1$ so that $\mathcal{P}(Q, Y)$ falls into $\delta(Q)$ if $|Y| > Y_{\rm cr}$. Of course, $Y_{\rm cr}$ is a complicated function of Q, ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 , and ϵ_3 , that can be found only numerically. Below we assume all epsilons to be of the same order: $\epsilon_1 \sim \epsilon_2 \sim \epsilon_3 \sim \epsilon$.

Let us examine the region of parameters $|Y| < Y_{cr}$, $Q \sim 1$; that is, $y \sim 1$ and $1 - \eta \sim 1$. Then the lifetime of the corresponding instanton can be estimated as ϵ^{-1} . Next, we come to estimates

$$\beta \sim \epsilon, \quad D\mu_1 \sim \epsilon^2, \quad \mu \sim \mu_2 \sim \epsilon \mu_1.$$
 (14)

So, we can conclude that at $|Y| < Y_{cr}$ the stationary part of the PDF is as follows:

$$\ln \mathcal{P}(Q,Y) = -\frac{\epsilon_1^3}{D} F(\epsilon_2/\epsilon_1,\epsilon_3/\epsilon_1,Q,Y), \qquad (15)$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 63 025601(R)

where *F* is a dimensionless function of order unity that can be found only numerically by finding the extremum of $\int_0^T \tilde{\mathcal{L}} dt$, which is, evidently, much simpler than massive direct simulations of the noisy NSE. At $T \gg 1/\epsilon$ the PDF decays fast in the region $|Y| > Y_{cr}$; near the boundary one can estimate $-\ln \mathcal{P}(Q, Y) \sim \epsilon (Y - Y_{cr})^2 / D$. Thus, the region Y $> Y_{cr}$ practically does not contribute to the probability of the signal lost.

The possibility of the collapse leads to the following interesting and practically important phenomenon. There is a finite probability per unit time for the amplitude to escape the stability region without returning. This probability can be found as a result of the competition of the returning terms in the equation for η and the noise ζ_1 , which indirectly influences η through pumping β and y. The result is the linearly growing probability of the total loss of the signal,

$$\mathcal{P}_{lost} = T \exp(-F_{col}), \quad F_{col} \sim \epsilon^3 / D.$$
 (16)

Thus, we see that there is a limit time for keeping the information.

The analysis of the continuous spectrum in this case is slightly different due to the dissipative character of the additional terms. Therefore, a saturation of the amplitude is observed which of course is ϵ -dependent and tends to infinity when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. An estimation of the continuous spectrum fluctuations give a condition $D \ll \epsilon^{1/2}$ for the above scheme to be valid. Note that the conditions of practical applicability is more restrictive: $\langle Y^2 \rangle \simeq D/\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \ll 1$ (otherwise the signal will be lost already at $T \simeq 1$). Note that $D \ll \epsilon^3$ is also the applicability condition of the saddle-point approximation, as is seen from Eq. (15).

In conclusion, we have developed a consistent method to derive the probability distributions in soliton-bearing systems with additive noise. The method is general and powerful enough and has made possible finding probabilities of large deviations in practical propagation schemes.

G.F. and V.L. thank I. Gabitov for helpful explanations.

- [1] L.F. Mollenauer, J.P. Gordon, and P.V. Mamyshev, in *Optical Fiber Telecommunications III A*, edited by I.P. Kaminow and T.L. Koch (Academic Press, San Diego, 1997), Vol. IIIA, Chap. 12.
- [2] E. Iannone, F. Matera, A. Mecozzi, and M. Settembre, *Nonlin-ear Optical Communication Networks* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1998).
- [3] T. Georges, Opt. Commun. **123**, 617 (1996).

- [4] C.R. Menyuk, Opt. Lett. 20, 285 (1995).
- [5] J.P. Gordon and H.A. Haus, Opt. Lett. 11, 665 (1986).
- [6] J. Elgin, Phys. Lett. A **110**, 441 (1985).
- [7] N.J. Smith, K.J. Blow, W.J. Firth, and K. Smith, Opt. Commun. 102, 324 (1993).
- [8] A. Mecozzi, J.D. Moores, H.A. Haus, and Y. Lai, Opt. Lett. 16, 1841 (1991).