

**Grinevich Petr Georgievich**

## **Lecture notes**

# **Conformal geometry and Riemann surfaces**

**Lecture notes were prepared by the student of the mathematics and  
mechanics faculty  
Raev Aleksey Alekseevich**

# Lecture 8

## Weyl and Cotton tensors

At this Lecture we consider the special case  $n = 3$ . Let us show that in this case:

1. The Weil tensor vanishes identically.
2. The Cotton tensor is invariant with respect to the conformal transformations of the metric tensor

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = e^{2\omega(x)} g_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

therefore it is well defined in terms of conformal structure.

- 1) Let us prove the first statement. To do it, let us show, that

1. If  $n = 2$ , then the Riemann tensor at a given point is completely determined by the Riemann metric tensor and the scalar curvature calculated **at this point only**.
2. If  $n = 3$ , then the Riemann tensor at a given point is completely determined by the Riemann metric tensor and the Ricci tensor calculated **at this point only**.

Of course, if we know the metric tensor **in a neighborhood of this point**, it completely determines the Riemann tensor. But we discuss now only **algebraic** relations, not the **differential** ones.

Let us recall that the curvature tensor satisfy the following **algebraic** constraints:

1. Symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= -R_{ijkl}, \\ R_{jikl} &= -R_{ijkl}, \\ R_{klij} &= R_{ijkl}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

2. Bianchi algebraic identities:

$$R_{sijk} + R_{sjki} + R_{skij} = 0.$$

It is easy to check that for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  the Bianchi algebraic identities follow from the symmetry properties (2) and provide no extra algebraic constraints, therefore we do not use them.

1. Let  $n = 2$ . The curvature tensor has 16 components, but only 4 of them do not vanish identically and all of them are proportional to  $R_{1212}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1221} &= -R_{1212}, \\ R_{2112} &= -R_{1212}, \\ R_{2121} &= R_{1212}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate the scalar curvature omitting identically zero terms. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= g^{11}g^{22}R_{1212} + g^{22}g^1R_{2121} + g^{12}g^{21}R_{1221} + g^{21}g^{12}R_{2112} = \\ &= 2(g^{11}g^{22} - g^{12}g^{21})R_{1212} = 2 \det(g^{ij})R_{1212} = \frac{2}{|g|}R_{1212}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|g| = \det(g_{ij}) = g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}g_{21}.$$

Therefore

$$R_{1212} = \frac{|g|}{2}R,$$

and we expressed the Riemann curvature tensor through the metric tensor  $g_{ij}$  and the scalar curvature  $R$  at this point.

2. Let  $n = 3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{ij}) &= \frac{1}{|g|} \begin{bmatrix} g_{22}g_{33} - g_{23}g_{32} & g_{13}g_{32} - g_{12}g_{33} & g_{12}g_{23} - g_{13}g_{22} \\ g_{23}g_{31} - g_{21}g_{33} & g_{11}g_{33} - g_{13}g_{31} & g_{21}g_{13} - g_{23}g_{11} \\ g_{32}g_{21} - g_{31}g_{22} & g_{31}g_{12} - g_{32}g_{11} & g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}g_{21} \end{bmatrix} \\ (g_{ij}) &= |g| \begin{bmatrix} g^{22}g^{33} - g^{23}g^{32} & g^{13}g^{32} - g^{12}g^{33} & g^{12}g^{23} - g^{13}g^{22} \\ g^{23}g^{31} - g^{21}g^{33} & g^{11}g^{33} - g^{13}g^{31} & g^{21}g^{13} - g^{23}g^{11} \\ g^{32}g^{21} - g^{31}g^{22} & g^{31}g^{12} - g^{32}g^{11} & g^{11}g^{22} - g^{12}g^{21} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The Riemannian tensor has exactly 6 independent non-zero components:

$$R_{1212}, \quad R_{1223}, \quad R_{1231}, \quad R_{2323}, \quad R_{2331}, \quad R_{3131}.$$

The Ricci tensor also has 6 non-zero components. Let us check that the Riemannian tensor can be express through the Ricci tensor.

For the scalar curvature tensor for  $n = 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R_{abcd}g^{ac}g^{bd} = \\ &= \textcolor{red}{R}_{1212}g^{11}g^{22} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{1213}g^{11}g^{23} + \textcolor{green}{R}_{1221}g^{12}g^{21} + \textcolor{purple}{R}_{1223}g^{12}g^{23} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{1231}g^{13}g^{21} + \textcolor{violet}{R}_{1232}g^{13}g^{22} + \\ &+ \textcolor{red}{R}_{1312}g^{11}g^{32} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{1313}g^{11}g^{33} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{1321}g^{12}g^{31} + R_{1323}g^{12}g^{33} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{1331}g^{13}g^{31} + R_{1332}g^{13}g^{32} + \\ &+ \textcolor{red}{R}_{2112}g^{21}g^{12} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{2113}g^{21}g^{13} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{2121}g^{22}g^{11} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{2123}g^{22}g^{13} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{2131}g^{23}g^{11} + \textcolor{violet}{R}_{2132}g^{23}g^{12} + \\ &+ \textcolor{violet}{R}_{2312}g^{21}g^{32} + R_{2313}g^{21}g^{33} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{2321}g^{22}g^{31} + \textcolor{cyan}{R}_{2323}g^{22}g^{33} + R_{2331}g^{23}g^{31} + \textcolor{cyan}{R}_{2332}g^{23}g^{32} + \\ &+ \textcolor{red}{R}_{3112}g^{31}g^{12} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{3113}g^{31}g^{13} + \textcolor{red}{R}_{3121}g^{32}g^{11} + R_{3123}g^{32}g^{13} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{3131}g^{33}g^{11} + R_{3132}g^{33}g^{12} + \\ &+ \textcolor{red}{R}_{3212}g^{31}g^{22} + R_{3213}g^{31}g^{23} + \textcolor{blue}{R}_{3221}g^{32}g^{21} + \textcolor{cyan}{R}_{3223}g^{32}g^{23} + R_{3231}g^{33}g^{21} + \textcolor{cyan}{R}_{3232}g^{33}g^{22} = \\ &= \textcolor{red}{R}_{1212}[2g^{11}g^{22} - 2g^{21}g^{12}] + \textcolor{red}{R}_{1313}[2g^{11}g^{33} - 2g^{31}g^{13}] + \textcolor{cyan}{R}_{2323}[2g^{22}g^{33} - g^{32}g^{23}] + \\ &+ \textcolor{red}{R}_{1231}[4g^{13}g^{21} - 4g^{11}g^{23}] + \textcolor{red}{R}_{1223}[4g^{12}g^{23} - 4g^{13}g^{22}] + R_{3123}[4g^{32}g^{13} - 4g^{33}g^{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using symmetries, expressions for the determinants and collecting all the terms we obtain:

$$R = \frac{2}{|g|} [\textcolor{red}{R}_{1212}g_{33} + \textcolor{cyan}{R}_{2323}g_{11} + \textcolor{violet}{R}_{3131}g_{22} + 2\textcolor{red}{R}_{1223}g_{13} + 2\textcolor{red}{R}_{1231}g_{23} + 2R_{3123}g_{12}].$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $n = 3$ . Then the Riemannian curvature tensor can be expressed through the Ricci tensor

$$R_{abcd} = [g_{ac}R_{bd} + g_{bd}R_{ac} - g_{ad}R_{bc} + g_{bc}R_{ad}] - \frac{R}{2}[g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{bc}g_{ad}]. \quad (3)$$

Let us prove (3). Due to symmetry between the spatial variables it is sufficient to check 2 terms:  $R_{1212}$  and  $R_{1223}$ . Let us calculate the right-hand side of (3) for these terms.

a) Let  $a = 1, b = 2, c = 1, d = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1212} &\stackrel{?}{=} [g_{11}R_{22} + g_{22}R_{11} - 2g_{12}R_{12}] - \frac{R}{2}[g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}g_{12}] = \\ &= g_{11}[R_{1212}g^{11} + R_{1232}g^{13} + R_{3212}g^{31} + R_{3232}g^{33}] + \\ &\quad + g_{22}[R_{2121}g^{22} + R_{2131}g^{23} + R_{3121}g^{32} + R_{3131}g^{33}] - \\ &\quad - 2g_{12}[R_{2112}g^{21} + R_{2132}g^{23} + R_{3112}g^{31} + R_{3132}g^{33}] - \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{2}|g|g^{33} = \\ &= g_{11}[R_{1212}g^{11} - R_{1223}g^{13} - R_{1223}g^{31} + R_{2323}g^{33}] + \\ &\quad + g_{22}[R_{1212}g^{22} - R_{1231}g^{23} - R_{1231}g^{32} + R_{3131}g^{33}] + \\ &\quad - 2g_{12}[-R_{1212}g^{21} + R_{2132}g^{23} + R_{1231}g^{31} - R_{3123}g^{33}] - \\ &\quad - g^{33}[R_{1212}g_{33} + R_{2323}g_{11} + R_{3131}g_{22} + 2R_{1223}g_{13} + 2R_{1231}g_{23} + 2R_{3123}g_{12}] = \\ &= -R_{1212}[g^{11}g_{11} + g^{22}g_{22} + 2g^{12}g_{21} - g^{33}g_{33}] - R_{2323}[g^{33}g_{11} - g^{33}g_{11}] + \\ &\quad + R_{3131}[g^{33}g_{22} - g^{33}g_{22}] - 2R_{1223}[g^{31}g_{11} + g^{32}g_{21} + g^{33}g_{33} + g^{22}g_{22}] + \\ &\quad - 2R_{1231}[g^{32}g_{22} + g^{31}g_{12} + g^{33}g_{32}] + 2R_{3123}[-g^{33}g_{12} - g^{33}g_{12}] = \\ &= R_{1212}[g^{11}g_{11} + g^{22}g_{22} + 2g^{12}g_{21} - g^{33}g_{33}]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} &g^{11}g_{11} + g^{22}g_{22} + 2g^{12}g_{21} - g^{33}g_{33} = \\ &= g^{11}g_{11} + g^{12}g_{21} + g^{13}g_{31} + g^{21}g_{21} + g^{22}g_{22} + g^{23}g_{32} - g^{31}g_{11} - g^{32}g_{23} - g^{33}g_{33} = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we finish the proof.

b) Let  $a = 1, b = 2, c = 2, d = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1223} &\stackrel{?}{=} [g_{12}R_{23} + g_{23}R_{12} - g_{13}R_{22} + g_{22}R_{13}] - \frac{R}{2}[g_{12}g_{23} - g_{22}g_{13}] = \\
&= g_{12}[R_{1213}g^{11} + R_{1223}g^{12} + R_{3213}g^{31} + R_{3223}g^{32}] + \\
&\quad + g_{23}[R_{2112}g^{21} + R_{2132}g^{23} + R_{3112}g^{31} + R_{3132}g^{33}] - \\
&\quad - g_{13}[R_{1212}g^{11} + R_{1232}g^{13} + R_{3212}g^{31} + R_{3232}g^{33}] - \\
&\quad - g_{22}[R_{2113}g^{21} + R_{2123}g^{22} + R_{3113}g^{31} + R_{3123}g^{32}] - \\
&\quad - \frac{R}{2}|g|g^{13} = \\
&= g_{12}[-R_{1231}g^{11} + R_{1223}g^{12} + R_{3123}g^{31} - R_{2323}g^{32}] + \\
&\quad + g_{23}[-R_{1212}g^{21} + R_{2132}g^{23} + R_{1231}g^{31} - R_{3123}g^{33}] - \\
&\quad - g_{13}[R_{1212}g^{11} - R_{1223}g^{13} - R_{1223}g^{31} + R_{2323}g^{33}] - \\
&\quad - g_{22}[R_{1231}g^{21} - R_{1223}g^{22} - R_{3131}g^{31} + R_{3123}g^{32}] - \\
&\quad - g^{13}[R_{1212}g_{33} + R_{2323}g_{11} + R_{3131}g_{22} + 2R_{1223}g_{13} + 2R_{1231}g_{23} + 2R_{3123}g_{12}] = \\
&= -R_{1212}[g^{12}g_{23} + g^{11}g_{13} + g^{13}g_{33}] - R_{2323}[g^{32}g_{21} + g^{33}g_{31} + g^{31}g_{11}] + \\
&\quad + R_{3131}[g^{31}g_{22} - g^{31}g_{22}] + R_{1223}[g^{21}g_{12} + g^{23}g_{32} + 2g^{13}g_{13} + g^{22}g_{22} - 2g^{13}g_{13}] + \\
&\quad - R_{1231}[g^{11}g_{12} - g^{13}g_{32} + g^{12}g_{22} + 2g^{13}g_{32}] - \\
&\quad - R_{3123}[-g^{31}g_{12} + g^{33}g_{32} + g^{32}g_{22} + 2g^{31}g_{12}] = R_{1223}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $n = 3$ . Then the Weil tensor vanish identically  $W_{jkl}^i \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $n = 3$  the Weil tensor with lower indexes  $W_{ijkl}$  coincides with the difference between the right-hand side of (3) and the left hand side of (3). Therefore for  $n = 3$   $W_{ijkl} \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

2) Consider the following transformation of the Riemannian metric preserving the conformal class:

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = e^{2\omega(x)}g_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

Let us calculate the transformation law for the Cotton tensor.

**Remark 1.** During the calculation we shall use the following standard notations:

$$\partial^k = g^{k\alpha}\partial_\alpha, \quad \nabla^k = g^{k\alpha}\nabla_\alpha,$$

the main property of the Levy-Civitta connection:

$$\nabla_k g_{ij} = 0, \quad \nabla_k g^{ij} = 0,$$

and the following relations:

$$\nabla_k \partial_j \omega = \nabla_j \partial_k \omega, \quad \nabla^k \partial_j \omega = \nabla_j \partial^k \omega,$$

$$(\nabla_k \nabla_l - \nabla_l \nabla_k) \alpha_q = -R^p_{qkl} \alpha_p, \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha_p$  is an 1-form.

Let us recall the formulas for the Schouten tensor

$$P_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[ R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R g_{ij} \right]$$

and the Cotton tensor

$$C_{ijk} = (n-2) [\nabla_k P_{ij} - \nabla_j P_{ik}].$$

Consider the following change of metric:

**Theorem 2.** *The change of Riemannian metric tensor (4) generates the following transformation of the Cotton tensor for any  $n \geq 3$ :*

$$\tilde{C}_{jkl} = C_{jkl} - (n-2) \partial_i \omega W^i_{jkl}.$$

We proved today that for  $n = 3$  the Weil tensor vanishes identically  $W^i_{jkl} \equiv 0$ . Therefore we have:

**Corollary 2.** *If  $n = 3$  then the Cotton tensor is invariant with respect to the transformation (4), and it provides an invariant of conformal geometry.*

*Proof.* We have the following transformation laws for the Levi-Civita connection

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k + S_{ij}^k,$$

where

$$S_{ij}^k = \delta_i^k \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^k \partial_i \omega - g_{ij} \partial^k \omega,$$

and for the Schouten tensor

$$\tilde{P}_{ij} = P_{ij} - \nabla_i \partial_j \omega + \partial_i \omega \partial_j \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \partial^\alpha \omega \partial_\alpha \omega,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n-2} \tilde{C}_{jkl} &= \tilde{\nabla}_l \tilde{P}_{jk} - \tilde{\nabla}_k \tilde{P}_{jl} = \nabla_l \tilde{P}_{jk} - S_{lj}^\alpha \tilde{P}_{\alpha k} - \cancel{S_{lk}^\alpha \tilde{P}_{j\alpha}} - \nabla_k \tilde{P}_{jl} + S_{kj}^\alpha \tilde{P}_{\alpha l} + \cancel{S_{kl}^\alpha \tilde{P}_{j\alpha}} = \\ &= \nabla_l \left[ P_{jk} - \nabla_k \partial_j \omega + \partial_j \omega \partial_k \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{jk} \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega \right] - \\ &\quad - \nabla_k \left[ P_{jl} - \nabla_l \partial_j \omega + \partial_j \omega \partial_l \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{jl} \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega \right] - \\ &\quad - [\delta_l^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_l \omega - g_{lj} \partial^\alpha \omega] \cdot \left[ P_{\alpha k} - \nabla_k \partial_\alpha \omega + \partial_\alpha \omega \partial_k \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha k} \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega \right] + \\ &\quad + [\delta_k^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_k \omega - g_{kj} \partial^\alpha \omega] \cdot \left[ P_{\alpha l} - \nabla_l \partial_\alpha \omega + \partial_\alpha \omega \partial_l \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha l} \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega \right] = \\ &= [\nabla_l P_{jk} - \nabla_k P_{jl}] + [-\nabla_l \nabla_k \partial_j \omega + \nabla_k \nabla_l \partial_j \omega] - \\ &\quad - [\delta_l^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_l \omega - g_{lj} \partial^\alpha \omega] P_{\alpha k} + [\delta_k^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_k \omega - g_{kj} \partial^\alpha \omega] P_{\alpha l} + \mathcal{C}_2 + \mathcal{C}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_2 &= \nabla_l \left[ \partial_j \omega \partial_k \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{jk} \partial^\alpha \omega \partial_\alpha \omega \right] - \nabla_k \left[ \partial_j \omega \partial_l \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{jl} \partial^\alpha \omega \partial_\alpha \omega \right] - \\ &+ [\delta_l^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_l \omega - g_{lj} \partial^\alpha \omega] \nabla_k \partial_\alpha \omega - [\delta_k^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_k \omega - g_{kj} \partial^\alpha \omega] \nabla_l \partial_\alpha \omega = \\ &= \underline{\partial_j \omega \nabla_l \partial_k \omega} + \underline{\partial_k \omega \nabla_l \partial_j \omega} - \underline{g_{jk} \partial^\alpha \omega \nabla_l \partial_\alpha \omega} - \underline{\partial_j \omega \nabla_k \partial_l \omega} - \underline{\partial_l \omega \nabla_k \partial_j \omega} + \underline{g_{jl} \partial^\alpha \omega \nabla_k \partial_\alpha \omega} + \\ &+ \underline{\partial_j \omega \nabla_k \partial_l \omega} + \underline{\partial_l \omega \nabla_k \partial_j \omega} - \underline{g_{lj} \partial^\alpha \omega \nabla_k \partial_\alpha \omega} - \underline{\partial_j \omega \nabla_l \partial_k \omega} - \underline{\partial_k \omega \nabla_l \partial_j \omega} + \underline{g_{kj} \partial^\alpha \omega \nabla_l \partial_\alpha \omega} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_3 &= -[\delta_l^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_l \omega - g_{lj} \partial^\alpha \omega] \cdot \left[ \partial_\alpha \omega \partial_k \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha k} \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega \right] + \\ &+ [\delta_k^\alpha \partial_j \omega + \delta_j^\alpha \partial_k \omega - g_{kj} \partial^\alpha \omega] \cdot \left[ \partial_\alpha \omega \partial_l \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha l} \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega \right] = \\ &= -\underline{\partial_j \omega \partial_l \omega \partial_k \omega} - \underline{\partial_l \omega \partial_j \omega \partial_k \omega} + \underline{g_{lj} \partial_k \omega \partial^\alpha \omega \partial_\alpha \omega} + \\ &+ \underline{\frac{1}{2} g_{kl} \partial_j \omega \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega} + \underline{\frac{1}{2} g_{jk} \partial_l \omega \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega} - \underline{\frac{1}{2} g_{jl} \partial_k \omega \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega} + \\ &+ \underline{\partial_j \omega \partial_k \omega \partial_l \omega} + \underline{\partial_k \omega \partial_j \omega \partial_l \omega} - \underline{g_{kj} \partial_l \omega \partial^\alpha \omega \partial_\alpha \omega} + \\ &- \underline{\frac{1}{2} g_{kl} \partial_j \omega \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega} - \underline{\frac{1}{2} g_{jl} \partial_k \omega \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega} + \underline{\frac{1}{2} g_{jk} \partial_l \omega \partial^\beta \omega \partial_\beta \omega} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n-2} \tilde{C}_{jkl} &= \frac{1}{n-2} C_{jkl} - R^i_{jkl} \partial_j \omega - \underline{\partial_j \omega P_{lk}} - \underline{\partial_l \omega P_{jk}} + g_{lj} \partial^\alpha \omega P_{\alpha k} + \\ &+ \underline{\partial_j \omega P_{kl}} + \underline{\partial_k \omega P_{jl}} - g_{kj} \partial^\alpha \omega P_{\alpha l}\end{aligned}$$

But

$$W^i_{jkl} = R^i_{jkl} - [\delta_k^i P_{jl} + g_{jl} g^{i\alpha} P_{\alpha k} - \delta_l^i P_{jk} - g_{jk} g^{i\alpha} P_{\alpha l}],$$

and

$$\partial_i \omega W^i_{jkl} = \partial_i \omega R^i_{jkl} - \partial_k \omega P_{jl} - g_{jl} \partial^\alpha \omega P_{\alpha k} + \partial_l \omega P_{jk} + g_{jk} \partial^\alpha \omega P_{\alpha l},$$

what completes the proof.  $\square$